The Trend of International Standardization of Social Infrastructure

Ryota Kawakami Assistant Senior Researcher, 3rd Research Department

In recent years, the international standardization of social infrastructure is receiving considerable attention. Since social infrastructure comprises a combination of different products, the areas subject to standardization are diverse, from individual product technologies to compliance and the safety of entire systems. The Technical Barriers to Trade Agreement (TBTA) and Government Procurement Agreement (GPA) of the World Trade Organization (WTO) oblige their signatories to comply with international standards for cross-border transactions of social infrastructure products. Thus it is essential to understand the trend of international standardization of social infrastructure exports.

1. Standardization of Social Infrastructure

1.1 An Example of Standardization

Standardization of social infrastructure involves not only "technologies and specifications of products," but also "system management and operation" and "conformity assessment." In a water project, for example, standards to follow include "system management and operation," such as business activities and services, and "conformity assessment," such as water quality and flow rates, in addition to "technologies and specifications of products," such as dimensions and shapes of filtration membranes (Table 1).

Table 1: Standards for Water (ISO¹ and other)

| Standard | | Examples |
|--|---|---|
| Technologies and | Filtration membranes | Dimensions and shapes |
| Specifications of Products | Non-open-cut Water Pipes | Product design and implementation |
| System Management and Operation | Business Activities and Services Security | Assessment and improvement of services Sewage project management Emergency response Management for continuity |
| Conformity Assessment | Water Quality Flow Rate Measurement | Toxicity measurement method Meters for cold drinking water and hot water River flow velocity and volume |
| Others | Definition | · Terms and symbols |

Prepared by Hitachi Research Institute based on data from released materials and interviews

1.2 The Standardization Strategy for Social Infrastructure is Different from that for Mass-produced Goods

As described above, social infrastructure is a system with a combination of many products. For this reason, the objectives and items for standardization are different from those for mass-produced goods, such as consumer appliances (Table 2). Therefore, formulating a strategy for social infrastructure standardization requires different perspectives, such as: 1) taking into account the entire system, which comprises a wide range of products; 2) establishing a system structure that includes products manufactured competitors; by 3) implementing post-completion certification to ensure safety; 4) conducting coordination with stakeholders, such as local governments, suppliers and construction workers; and 5) optimizing the revenue model to take into account system operation, as well as system sales.

Table 2: Comparison between the Standardization of Consumer Appliances and of Social Infrastructure

| Item | Appliances | Social Infrastructure |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | • Improved | · Quality improvement |
| Objectives | compatibility and | Safety improvement |
| | operability with | · Reduction of |
| | standardized | government |
| | specifications | procurement costs |
| Areas Subject to Standardization | Mainly products | Products and individual |
| | and individual | technologies |
| | technologies | System management |
| | | and operation |
| | | · Conformity assessment |
| | To be certified | • Each product to be |
| | before shipment | certified before |
| Timing of | | shipment, and systems |
| Certification | | to be certified upon |
| | | installation as well as completion |
| | Blu-ray Disc | International Atomic |
| | Association (BDA) | Energy Agency (IAEA) |
| Standardization | Third Generation | Comité Européen de |
| Organizations | Partnership | Normalisation (CEN) |
| | Project (3GPP) | |

Prepared by Hitachi Research Institute based on data from

released materials and interviews

2. The Importance of Establishing a Strategy for Social Infrastructure Standardization

2.1 Standardization Driven by the WTO

Based on the TBTA and GPA, the WTO is encouraging each member country to adopt international standards. In international trade, the TBTA obliges countries to let international standards take precedence over domestic ones. In addition, government entities and public utilities such as electricity suppliers and railways are bound under the GPA to place priority on internationally standardized products in their procurements. Although the number of GPA signatories is only 42 countries and regions, as it is a voluntary agreement, during the export of social infrastructure products or systems, it is important to check whether the destination country is a GPA member.

Table 3: WTO Agreements on International Standardization

| Agreement | Description | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Agreement on Technical | The TBTA aims to eliminate as | |
| Barriers to Trade (TBTA): | many differences in standards, | |
| 157 countries and regions | which it considers to be barriers, | |
| are signatories (as of | as possible, to promote | |
| October 2012) | international trade. | |
| | Under the TBTA, it is obligatory for | |
| | international standards to take | |
| | precedence over domestic ones. | |
| Agreement on | The GPA obliges government | |
| Government Procurement | entities (national and local | |
| (GPA) | governments) and public utilities | |
| 42 countries and regions | (such as electricity suppliers and | |
| are signatories (as of | railways) to conform to | |
| October 2012) | international standards in their | |
| | procurement of products and | |
| | services (including construction | |
| | work). | |
| | The GPA aims to ensure the quality | |
| | of imported and exported products | |
| | and promote price competition | |

Prepared by Hitachi Research Institute based on data from released materials

2.2 Standardization of Assessment Guidelines for Products and Systems

At the same time, more effort is being made to standardize guidelines to assess products and systems. Specifically, these guidelines cover areas such as environmental design, power saving and security.

Because the specifications in these areas are difficult to assess before purchase, standardization is of great significance to purchasers. Thus, it is important to adopt standardized assessment guidelines to show the advantages of products and systems.

2.3 Standard Adoption Affects Business and Cost

If a country's standards are not recognized as international, its infrastructure-related companies will need to bear a significant amount of additional costs, as they will be required to conform to standards adopted in other countries. For infrastructure-related companies, it is essential to understand the trend of international standards and take appropriate action.

Table 4: Cases of International Standardization Issues

| Product | Description | Results |
|--------------|---|--|
| Taiwan High | A consultancy in | • It took time for the |
| Speed Rail | Europe proposed | Japanese company |
| | railway specifications | that won the deal to |
| | which conformed to | adjust the high speed |
| | the EN ² standards. | railway system in |
| | · A Japanese company | Japan to the EN |
| | won the tender in late | standards, causing |
| | 2000, but the | delays to the |
| | customer demanded | development process |
| | that the company | of the project. |
| | comply with the EN | |
| | standards. | |
| Suica | The IEC ³ recognizes | In 2004, the IC card |
| (Non-contact | two formats of | specifications of |
| IC "smart" | Motorola and Philips | Japan became the |
| card) | Semiconductor as | IEC standard as a |
| | international | proximity card, and |
| | standards for | not a non-contact IC |
| | non-contact IC cards. | card. |
| | In June 2000, JR East | JR East adopted the |
| | announced a | Japanese IC card |
| | procurement | specifications by |
| | requirement that the | changing its |
| | Japanese format must | requirement to a |
| | be adopted for the | proximity card format |
| | IC-card entrance gate | that complies with |
| | system. Motorola | international |
| | objected to the | standards. |
| | requirement, claiming | |
| | that it violated the | |
| | WTO government | |
| | procurement rules. | |

Prepared by Hitachi Research Institute based on data from released materials

3. Standardization Strategy in Japan

Due to its geographical location, Europe has been active for many years in efforts for the implementation of standardization. Currently, the European Union (EU) has significant influence over international standardization, such as through the IEC/ISO, as they adopt a "one country, one vote" majority voting system.

Meanwhile, Japan has been promoting measures, with joint efforts by its public and private sectors, to 1) enhance cooperation and coordination among Asian countries; and 2) secure and develop talent with negotiating skills and political strength who are capable of decision-making from a business viewpoint.

- 1: International Organization for Standardization.
- 2: European Norm.
- 3: International Electrotechnical Commission.